

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

* * * * *

C.W.P NO.19834 OF 2006

Date of decision : December 14, 2006

* * * * *

Surinder Singh Rathee Petitioner

Vs.

Haryana Urban Development Authority & others Respondents

* * * * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S BHALLA

Present: Mr. J.P Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

* * * * *

Viney Mittal, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion to the respondents.

On the asking of the Court, Sh. Ashok Jindal, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies of the writ petition have been supplied to the learned counsel for the respondents

The petitioner claims himself to be an oustee and as such claims the allotment of the plot in the aforesaid category. It has been claimed by the petitioner that although an application has been filed by the petitioner for allotment of the plot under the oustees category and even a representation had been filed by him in the month of February 2006, but no action whatsoever has been taken so far by the competent authority.

On November 29, 2006, the Chief Administrator, HUDA had

appeared before us in connection with hearing of CWP No.15433 of 2006 and other connected matters and had made a detailed statement. On the basis of the statement made by the Chief Administrator, HUDA writ petitions were disposed of.

We dispose of the present writ petition in terms of order dated November 29, 2006 passed in CWP No.15433 of 2006.

Copy of the order be given dasti on payment of the usual charges.

(VINEY MITTAL)
JUDGE

December 14, 2006
ritu

(H.S BHALLA)
JUDGE